IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH JANUARY 2007 / 20TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 89 of 2007(I)

PETITIONER:

T.M.SHAMLATHU BEEGUM,
D/O.LATE T.S.MAPPILA MEERAN, THEKKEVEETTIL,
PEERUMEDU-685 531.

BY ADV. SRI.K.I.MAYANKUTTY MATHER
SMT.S.LAKSHMY

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER (HEALTH),
DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICE, PAINAVU P.O.,
IDUKKI.

2. DISTRICT EMPLOYMENT OFFICER,
KATTAPPANA, IDUKKI.

3. EMPLOYMENT OFFICER,
TOWN EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE, PEERMADE.

4. RAMLA BEEVI, MADATHIL HOUSE,
ELAPPARA P.O.

5. THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

(ADDL.R5 IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER DTD.10.1.2007 IN I.A.542/07)

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO. 89 of 2007

Dated this the 10th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

The grievance of the petitioner is that overlooking her superior claim for appointment to the post of Part Time Sweeper in the Health Department, the first respondent has selected and appointed the 4th respondent. Having regard to the facts stated by the petitioner in support of her contentions, it appears that the Director of Health Services shall look into the complaints and after calling for the relevant records, take appropriate decision. The petitioner has approached the Director of Health Services by filing Ext.P8 representation, which according to the petitioner contains facts germane for consideration.

2.Having heard the counsel for the petitioner and the Government Pleader for the respondents, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition directing the Head of the Health Services Department to take appropriate decision. In the circumstances, it is not necessary to issue notice to the 4th respondent in these proceedings.

3. The writ petition is disposed of directing the Director of Health Services who has been impleaded as additional 5th respondent to issue

notice to the petitioner and the 4th respondent, consider all relevant facts with reference to the records and take appropriate decision, in accordance with law, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment before the 5th respondent along with a copy of the writ petition. Needless to state that if the parties respond to the notice, they shall be heard and their contentions shall be adverted to.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

css/